

The CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR

- WORLD
- USA
- COMMENTARY
- MONEY
- ENVIRONMENT
- INNOVATION
- SCIENCE
- THE CULTURE
- BOOKS

USA All USA Topics

Subscribe | E-mail newsletters | RSS

BP cleanup: Are other firms in Gulf oil spill blame game helping?

BP is leading the Gulf oil spill cleanup because it has a majority share in the well. Other companies connected to the Deepwater Horizon operation are helping, but not at their own expense – yet.



The Discoverer Enterprise, seen here burning off natural gas Monday, is currently collecting about 630,000 gallons of oil a day from the leaking well that is causing the Gulf oil spill. The ship is owned by Transocean, one of BP's contractors.

Eric Gay/AP

Enlarge

More USA



Lawmakers slam Big Oil executives on spill preparedness

The CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR

- WORLD
- USA
- COMMENTARY

USA All USA Topics

About these ads

Subscribe to the weekly *Monitor* and save 71%!



Like You and 7 others like this. 7 people like this. · Admin Page · Error Unlike

By *Matt Rocheleau*, Contributor / June 15, 2010

BP has squarely taken the [blame and the responsibility](#) for the Gulf oil spill. But other companies were working on the Deepwater Horizon rig at the time the April 20 accident and could be held at least partly at fault in the future.



Photo Gallery
Sticky mess: The Gulf oil spill's impact on nature

These companies have various degrees of participation in the cleanup – ranging from none at all to crucial roles in trying to [contain the oil](#) and [drill relief wells](#). Yet none are contributing at their own expense, with several continuing to be paid by BP for contract work.

At this early stage, that is all by design. “Generally, whoever’s oil it is, is the responsible party,” says Coast Guard Lt. Cmdr. Chris O’Neil. “By law, there is a single party responsible,” for the cleanup, at least for the time being.

Related Stories

[BP takes Gulf oil spill heat, but what about other companies?](#)

[Gulf oil spill: BP worried about its own bid to capture more oil](#)

[Oval Office speech: Obama's 'take charge' moment in Gulf oil spill](#)

Most viewed

1. Gary Brooks Faulkner: 'American ninja' hunting Osama bin Laden
2. Gigantic 62-foot Jesus statue struck by lightning, destroyed (VIDEO)
3. Joran Van der Sloot: How he's spent the past five years
4. World Cup stadiums: What's with all the empty seats?
5. Oval Office speech: Obama's 'take charge' moment in Gulf oil spill
6. Southern California earthquakes deemed April aftershocks
7. After Israel's Gaza flotilla raid, is Turkey rejecting Europe?
8. BP cleanup: Are other firms in Gulf oil spill blame game helping?
9. Charlie Sheen's cars keep falling off of cliffs
10. California earthquake: 5.7 earthquake rattles US - Mexico border Monday night

Blog: [Gulf Oil spill: What to do about BP? Would a boycott be productive?](#)

IN PICTURES: The Gulf oil spill's impact on nature

For simplicity, the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) of 1990 focuses responsibility on one party – in this case, London-based BP, which had a majority 65 percent share in the well.

In the long term, BP's contractors could [be found liable](#) for elements of the accident and have to pay for it. Along with BP, two companies potentially at fault – Transocean Ltd. and Halliburton Co. – are the focus of a federal investigation into who caused the spill. Moreover, the OPA gives BP the option to sue for contributions from others who may have been involved with the spill.

But that would all be decided in litigation that could stretch out over a decade.

For now, the companies have let the OPA be their guide and neither is contributing its own time or money to the cleanup freely.

Rep. Edward Markey (D) of Massachusetts sent a letter on May 21 to executives at BP, Transocean, and Halliburton asking that they establish and fund an initiative to have independent scientists research new methods to clean up oil spills and monitor the Gulf oil spill's ecological impact.

Three days later, BP pledged \$500 million to the fund. The following week, Halliburton replied with a letter denying that it bears any responsibility and declined to contribute. Transocean has still not made a decision according to company spokesman Guy Cantrell.

Meanwhile, some of the firms continue to work for BP as contractors in the cleanup. That's typical, experts say.

"It's not unusual to have potential liability here, but to also be under contract to help with the response at the same time," says offshore drilling consultant and engineer Kenneth Arnold, a 40-year veteran of the oil industry.

BP is simply trying to assemble the best team possible, says Hugh Gorman, a professor of environmental policy and history at Michigan Technological University.

"The expertise is spread out through different companies, and I suspect it's a matter of just finding the best experts," says Professor Gorman, author of "Redefining Efficiency: Pollution Control, Regulatory Mechanisms, and Technological Change in the US Petroleum Industry."

Because BP does not own equipment or personnel trained in cleaning oil spills, all of that work must be contracted out by BP, says Robert Gramling, author of "Oil on the Edge: Offshore Development, Conflict, Gridlock."

"I don't think any of the other [potentially liable] companies want to touch this thing," he says. "They're running scared ... [but] there really isn't anything more that they can do."

Related:

- [BP takes Gulf oil spill heat, but what about other companies?](#)
- [Gulf oil spill: BP worried about its own bid to capture more oil](#)
- [Oval Office speech: Obama's 'take charge' moment in Gulf oil spill](#)
- [IN PICTURES: The Gulf oil spill's impact on nature](#)

Readers weigh in: [Gulf Oil spill: What to do about BP? Would a boycott be productive?](#)

In Pictures



[Space Photos of the Day 06/15](#)

Photos of the day

06.15.10 >



Gary Brooks Faulkner: 'American ninja' hunting Osama bin Laden

Gary Brooks Faulkner, a California construction worker, was detained in Pakistan carrying a sword, a pistol, and night vision goggles. He was hunting for Osama bin Laden near Chitral, Pakistan. Was Faulkner on the right track?

[Vuvuzela controversy solved? A quieter vuvuzela](#)

[Afghanistan's woeful water management delights neighbors](#)

[Blather-fighters hand out clarity awards](#)

The Vote

[Bob Etheridge incident: What does he have to apologize for?](#)

Follow us on [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#)



 Like  You and 7 others like this. 7 people like this. · [Admin](#)
Page · [Error](#) [Unlike](#)

Try the new weekly Monitor at a price so low...it's like getting 18 issues FREE!